

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,077	10/662,077 09/12/2003 Eldon		1887A1	9797	
7590 05/18/2006			EXAMINER		
PPG INDUST	RIES, INC.	AHMED, SHEEBA			
	perty Department				
One PPG Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh PA 15272			1773		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/662,	077	DECKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Sheeba		1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- ty period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tirm will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>2/21/06</i> .						
	•	b) This action is	non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	,					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		o) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the contract of the con	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have be	en received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority docum	nents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	ric)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date							

**Art Unit: 1773** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendments

1. Claims 1, 18, 28, and 34 have been amended. Claims 1-44 are now pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-21, 23-39, and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a two layer laminate comprising an upper layer comprising a resinous binder and colorants which emit fluorescent light when exposed to visible light and have a particle size of less than 150nm and a lower layer comprising a resinous binder and light scattering particles, does not reasonably provide enablement for a two layer laminate comprising an upper layer comprising a resinous binder and colorants which emit fluorescent light when exposed to visible light and a lower layer comprising a resinous binder and light scattering particles. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The last line of page 5 and the first and second paragraph of page 6 state that the colorants are pigments and the "pigment size is selected so that the pigment particles will not scatter light effectively. Such scattering would induce significant diffuse

**Art Unit: 1773** 

reflectance that would be observed over a wide range of viewing angles and would mask the fluorescence. A suitable primary particle size for the pigments is less than about 150 nm, or less than about 70 nm or less than about 30 nm". Hence, indicating that the pigments must have a particle size less than 150nm to prevent masking of the fluorescence.

Claims 1, 18, and 4 have been amended to recite "wherein when the colorant comprises colorant pigments, the colorant pigments do not induce significant diffuse reflectance". However, the Examiner would like to point out that the use of the term "when" in this instance is the equivalent of stating that the colorant optionally comprises colorant pigments and not necessarily comprises colorant pigments. Hence, such a recitation does not limit the claim to comprising colorant pigments.

3. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 18, and 34 have been amended to recite that the "colorant pigments do not induce significant diffuse reflectance". However, there is no support for such a negative limitation in the original disclosure. The Applicants have directed the Examiner's attention to page 7 however the Examiner was not able to find any such support. The Examiner would like to point out that any negative limitation or

Art Unit: 1773

exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure and that the mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.

All new matter must be deleted in response to this Office Action.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays -Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheeba Ahmed Art Unit 1773

May 15, 2006